UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/913,577	01/25/2002	Toshihiro Morita	275734US6PCT	4188	
22850 7590 OBLON SPIVAK		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			POND, ROBERT M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
				.1	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTE	IS	04/19/2007	FLECTRONIC		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/913,57	7	MORITA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert M.	Pond .	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH I.136(a). In no eve d will apply and wil ute, cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tim I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ication to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29.	January 2007	<u>7</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				,			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7,8,10 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖾	5) Claim(s) 5 and 7 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,8,10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.						
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
-/,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certif	ied copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Examiner's Note

The Examiner is requesting a brief telephone interview for further discussion pertaining to this office action prior to Applicant's formal reply.

# Response to Amendment

The Applicant amended independent claims 1, 5, and 8, canceled claims 2, 6, and 9.

### Objected Claims-Allowable Subject Matter

Dependent claims 2, 6, and 9 were objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Applicant incorporated each claim above with its respective independent base claim. Rejection of claims 1, 3,-5, 7, 8, 10, and 11 under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn.

# Rejection under 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup>

The Applicant amended claims 8, 10, and 11 in response to the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejection but failed to overcome the rejection. The preamble was amended but claim elements lack language in each claim element necessary to distinguish between program code or methods. Please contact this Examiner for further discussion before submitting a formal reply.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Applicant is claiming a program storage medium stored therein a computer-readable program. Claims lack specificity by omitting words to the effect of claiming "program code" or "instructions" or similar meaning for each claim element. As claimed it is ambiguous as to whether the Applicant is claiming a software program embodied in a computer-readable medium for execution by a computer or methods.

2. Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Applicant did not invoke 112 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph pertaining to claim 1. As claimed, 112 6<sup>th</sup> is not in play and therefore claim 1 lacks structural specificity necessary for the apparatus claim.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 571-272-6760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner April 13, 2007